

State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director

JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

Inspection Report Minerals Regulatory Program October 7, 2009

Mine Name: Topaz Mine	Permit Number: M/023/0003			
Operator Name: Brush Resources, Inc.	Inspection Date : October 6, 2009			
Inspector(s): Wayne Western	Time: 2:50 – 4:00 PM			
Other Participants: John Wagoner, Permittee	Mine Status: Active			
Elements of Inspection	Evaluated	Comment	Enforcement	
1. Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		

Ele	ements of Inspection	Evaluated	Comment	Enforcement
1. Permits, Revision	ons, Transfer, Bonds	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
2. Public Safety (s	nafts, adits, trash, signs, highwalls)	$\overline{\boxtimes}$		
3. Protection of Dr	ainages / Erosion Control			
4. Deleterious Mat	erial			
5. Roads (mainten	ance, surfacing, dust control, safety)			
6. Reclamation		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
7. Backfilling/Grad	ling (trenches, pits, roads, highwalls,	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
8. Soils				
9. Revegetation		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
10. Other				

Purpose of Inspection:

The primary purpose of this inspection was to determine if the backfilling and grading work at the Fluro Phase I area was adequate.

Inspection Summary:

John Wagoner, the Operator's representative and Wayne Western, the Division's representative did an on site inspection of the reclaimed area. The Operator partially reclaimed in 2007. The partial reclamation consisted of backfilling, grading and topsoil placement in addition to seeding.

The area was stable. There were no signs of erosion or slope instability. The Operator had the site contoured in order to create microenvironments so that vegetation could be established. In addition, the Operator also has rock piles placed on the surface to enhance wildlife habitat for rabbits, mice and small birds.

The Operator originally reclaimed the site in 2007. During the reclamation, the Operator had the site seeded. During the inspection, both parties noticed that there was vegetation on the site. Since Phase I bond release does not require the establishment of vegetation, there was no needed to determine the species present or their density.

Note: No photographs of the site were taken during the inspection. Videos were taken instead of photographs and they are in the image file for the mine.

Conclusions and Recommendations:

Inspection Date: October 6, 2009

Page 2 of 2 M/023/0003

The Division should consider Phase I bond release on the site based on the on ground conditions.

Inspector's Signature
WHW:pb
cc: (OPERATOR)
O:\M023-Juab\M0230003-Topaz-brush\draft\INSP_10062009.doc